## Cascase06:06-02-107251-355-SIDobouromentnt81.9 Filleed10099/1145/200006PagReagtenfl3of 3

1	Robert T. Haslam (#71134)		
2	HELLER EHRMAN LLP 275 Middlefield Road		
3	Menlo Park, CA 94025-3506 Telephone: (650) 324-7000		
4	Facsimile: (650) 324-0638		
5			
. 6	Kurt M. Kjelland (#172076) HELLER EHRMAN LLP		
7	4350 La Jolla Village Drive, 7 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Diego, CA 92122		
8	Telephone: (858) 450-8400		
9	Facsimile: (858) 450-8499 Email address: kurt.kjelland@hellerehrman.com		
10	Counterclaim Plaintiff, REACTRIX SYSTEMS, INC.		
11			
12			
13	NORTHER DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO		
15	REACTRIX SYSTEMS, INC.,	Case No.: C 06 2175 SI	
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND LITIGATION DEADLINES PURSUANT	
17	VS.	TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 6-2 AND 7-12; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
18	GESTURETEK, INC.	[FROPOSED] ORDER	
19	Defendant.		
20	GESTURETEK, INC. and VERY VIVID, INC.,		
21	Counterclaim Plaintiffs,		
22			
23	VS.		
24	REACTRIX SYSTEMS, INC.,	¥	
25	Counterclaim Defendant.		
26			
27			
28	The state of the s		

1 2

REACTRIX SYSTEMS, INC.,

Counterclaim Plaintiff,

VS.

VERY VIVID, INC.,

Counterclaim Defendant.

WHEREAS, Reactrix Systems, Inc. ("Reactrix") filed its complaint on March 24, 2006; WHEREAS, GestureTek, Inc. ("GestureTek") and Very Vivid, Inc. ("Very Vivid") filed an answer and counterclaims on July 10, 2006;

WHEREAS, Reactrix filed its reply to counterclaim and counterclaims against Very Vivid on August 2, 2006;

WHEREAS, the parties have been engaged in settlement negotiations and have agreed upon a non-binding term sheet outlining a settlement of this matter;

WHEREAS, the parties wish to avoid further expense of litigation while they attempt to finalize and document a binding settlement agreement. To facilitate their efforts, the parties, therefore, have agreed to extend the following deadlines as follows:

Action	Current Deadline	Stipulated Deadline
Last day to complete initial disclosures	September 15, 2006	[Proposed: October 20, 2006]
Case Management Conference	September 26, 2006	December 1, 2006 Proposed: October 27, 20061

WHEREAS, the Court has previously modified the case deadlines only once, on May 8, 2006, to accommodate the settlement discussions that have resulted in the instant settlement reached in principle; and

WHEREAS, the parties respectfully request that the Court adopt the above-stated schedule, and continue the Case Management Conference as proposed.

## Cascase06:06-02-107251-355-SIDobonumentent81.9 Fileed10099/1245/200006PagReageo83of3

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties, through their counsel of record, that the		
2	parties adhere to the schedule set forth above		
3	3 Dated: September 14, 2006 HELI	LER EHRMAN LLP	
4	4		
5	5 By:	/S/ curt M. Kjelland	
6	6		
7	7 D	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Counterclaim Plaintiff EACTRIX SYSTEMS, INC.	
9	Dated: September 14, 2000	& RICHARDSON P.C.	
10			
11		hn V. Picone III	
12	12	ttorneys for Defendants, Counterclaim	
13	P. P.	laintiffs, and Counterclaim Defendants	
14		ESTURETEK, INC. and VERY VIVID, NC.	
15			
16			
17	perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has	been obtained from John V. Picone III.	
18	Dated: September 14, 2006 HELL	ER EHRMAN LLP	
19	19		
20	By: <sub>v</sub>	/S/	
21	21	urt M. Kjelland	
22		ttorneys for Plaintiff, Counterclaim efendant, and Counterclaim Plaintiff	
23	R	EACTRIX SYSTEMS, INC.	
24	ORDER 24		
25	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDI	ERED.	
26			
27		Susan Illston	
28	Judge	of the United States District Court	
-	===    · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		